

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,955	TSUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Satyendra K. Singh	1657

All Participants:

(1) Satyendra K. Singh.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. William J. Simmons (attorney of record).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2007

Time: 2:30PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of the record

Claims discussed:

12, 14 and 16,

Prior art documents discussed:

of the record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. William J. Simmons was called and provided with the proposed Examiner's amendment to the claims (which was approved through the art unit patentability conference with Sandy Saucier and Jon Weber, held on March 7, 2007). Applicant's attorney of record agreed to the proposed amendment to the claims (especially claim 12) in order for the allowance of the pending claims.